JERSEY FARMERS' UNION

Telephone: 01534 733581
Facsimile: 01534 733582
e-mail: jerseyfarmers@jerseymail.co.uk

MRS M A RONDEL Executive Secretary D'HAUTEVILLE CHAMBERS

22 SEALE STREET

ST HELIER

ACTION

JERSEY JE2 3QG CHANNEL ISLANDS

21 July 2004

Mrs. Kay Tremellen-Frost
Scrutiny Officer
Agri-Environment (Countryside Renewal) Scheme
Scrutiny Office
States Building
St. Helier
JERSEY JE1 1BA

Dear Mrs. Tremellen-Frost,

SCRUTINY OFFICE RECEIVED 2 6 JUL 2004 SCANNED REGISTERED

ريان در اُور درگان داري در دروان يا وانود دارد د د انجاس او و او د د ه درو. در دروان دود دروان دروان دروان و ودروان دروان دروان

Re: Agri-Environment Scheme / Countryside Renewal

We write, further to your e-mail message dated 12 July 2004 and we hope that we have interpreted your questions correctly.

Cost of Maintaining Land which is Farmed

The cost to Government of farming land varies between sectors and crops grown. There has been a dramatic reduction in the amount of direct and indirect support over the last ten years.

The following figures are estimates for 2004. The Industry has offered to work with Planning & Environment to further reduce indirect support to the Industry.

CROP	AREA	DIRECT (AREA PAYMENT)	PROMOTION	INDIRECT (SUPPORT TO INDUSTRY)
POTATOES	15,000 vergees	£30.00 per vergee	£90.00 per vergee	£20.00 per vergee
TOMATOES (Outdoor)	25 vergees	£200.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
COURGETTES	696 vergees	£150.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
CAULIFLOWER	361 vergees	£50.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
PARSLEY	104 vergees	£15.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
OTHER CROPS	1,984 vergees	£23.50 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
DAFFODILS	1,169 vergees	£90.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
SPRAY CARNATIONS	8 vergees	£800.00 per vergee	Nil	£20,00 per vergee

PINKS	14 vergees	£250.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
ANEMONES	13 vergees	£250.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
IRIS	1 vergee	£250.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
CHINCHERINCHEES	2 vergees	£250.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
OTHER FLOWERS	94 vergees	£23.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee
LOCAL PRODUCE		£20.00 per vergee	Nil	£20.00 per vergee

Local Sales receive less area payment because they do not incur harbour dues for export which, on potatoes for example, would almost match direct support.

Tomatoes, Daffodils and Courgettes have historically, received greater payment as they are more intense and produce a greater return per vergee. They also do not receive any promotional or marketing support.

Cost of Maintaining Land which is not Farmed

Most unfarmed land is managed by the States of Jersey, the National Trust and other private bodies or individuals. Planning & Environment would have the necessary information as to the cost of the management of the respective areas. Farmers do manage some small amounts of non-farmed areas; the cost of this management and the areas involved are unknown.

Cost of Maintaining Land Controlled by Supermarket Protocols

Supermarket protocols are by their nature comprehensive. The cost of adhering to them will depend on how compliant and individual the farm business is. Registration costs vary according to crops grown and areas. Typically, registration is several hundreds of pounds. The costs of compliance could range from one or two thousand pounds to tens of thousands of pounds if full environmental audits are carried out and then implemented.

We take this opportunity of enclosing a Checklist used by growers to check their compliance with the Assured Produce Standard, for your perusal.

We also enclose observations made regarding the Countryside Renewal Scheme, for your information.

Protected Crops

Further to your request for information regarding the cost of farming land using the "Assured Produce Scheme". When assessing the importance of an Agri-Environment Scheme it is very important to recognise that it also encompasses the growing of protected crops which in terms of value now represents approximately 40% of Jersey's total agricultural and horticultural income.

Among other protocols, "The Assured Produce Scheme" requires the use of biological control, (the method of introducing beneficial insects into the glasshouse to control pests) which has been pioneered in Jersey over the past 20 years. It is a very expensive way in which to control pests and it would be far cheaper to use insecticides.

Glasshouse grown crops are at the leading edge of environmentally safe practices. That is the reason why Europe, through subsidies, is encouraging farmers to build glasshouses. No glasshouse produced food is safer than that grown in Jersey; something recognised by leading supermarkets which has till now, given us an advantage over our competitors. However, twenty years later, other European countries are converting to this method of growing but they are receiving a subsidy to do so under Agri-Environment Schemes. All European growers who subscribe to an "Assured Produce Scheme" get at least 4.1% of gross turnover in direct subsidy for protected crops under the "European Fruit and Vegetable Regime Subsidy Scheme". Many areas in Europe with similar expensive shipping routes as Jersey qualify for 5B status which can see this figure rise to almost double the 4.1%. They also receive a further 40% tax relief if they use biological control.

If the Jersey protected crops industry is to survive, it too must be supported in the same way.

The subscription to the "Assured Produce Scheme" is dependent on the size of a Nursery and in Jersey, would range from £250.00 to £600.00 per year. The additional expense of growing to the Scheme's protocols for a tomato or pepper crop is in excess of £6,000 per acre per year.

In conclusion, unless growers and farmers are given the same financial help as their European competitors, then no growers will survive. Some aid must, as in the rest of Europe, come in the form of direct payments and the balance through an Agri-Environment Scheme.

Yours sincerely 20

JOHN E. LE MAISTRE

PRESIDENT



APS Members' Checklist (2003 – 2004)

This checklist should be used by members to check their compliance with the Assured Produce Standard. Members should answer every question and where non-compliances are found, record their proposed action and timescale to correct them.

This checklist must be **RETAINED** by the member as a reference document and proof of his internal audit against the APS standard. It is now required that internal audits are undertaken at least once per year.

Internal/Self audit undertaken by:

To attain full member status, all Critical Failure Point Questions, suffixed by CFP, containing the instruction "must" and printed in bold type, must be complied with, together with a total score of 90% or more of the "strongly recommended" questions, whose scores are weighted according to their ICM, Health and Safety and Food Safety importance. Compliance with the "should" questions, which are verified in the Assured Produce assessment but do not attract a score (and so are not part of the certification/approval decision), should be aimed for as they are considered as Good Agricultural Practice. (For further guidance on the scoring system see the scoring sheet at the end of this document.)

		signed: date	/ /
2. Plann	ing and reco	rds	
Question Number	Generic Protocol Reference		
2.1.1	2.1.1	Is Assured Produce Scheme registered product traceable to the point it leaves the member's control? Is there a system in place to ensure the traceability link is passed to the next point in the supply chain? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □
2.2.1	2.2.1	Are all records kept for at least two years, unless there is a legal requirement for them to be kept longer (e.g. pesticide records three years) (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □
2.3.1 (NEW)	2.3.1	Are copies of the DEFRA Code of Practice for the Safe Use of Pesticides (Green Code), the LEAF Handbook for Integrated Farm Management (or equivalent relevant ICM documents); and the three DEFRA Codes of Practice for the Protection of Soil, Air and Water and VI Crop Protection Management Plan held? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □
2.4.1	2.4.1	Is there a record of all received complaints, relevant to the Assured Produce Scheme standards, and documentary evidence of the appropriate actions taken? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □
2.5.1	2.5.1	Do you undertake and document a minimum of one internal audit per annum against the APS Standard and are appropriate corrective actions taken as a result of internal audit? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □
2.6.1	2.6.1	If you use the Assured Produce Scheme mark/logo, does its use conform to the AP Scheme rules? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
2.7.1	2.7.1	Have you informed your contractors, who work on APS registered crops, of any relevant requirements from the Assured Produce protocols? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
2.8.1	2.8.1	Has a Hazard Analysis to identify Critical Control points (HACCP) in your production sequence been carried out? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ `
3. Site so	lection		
3.1.1	3.1.1	Is there an established recording system for each field, orchard, glasshouse or growing house in which every field, orchard, glasshouse or growing house is uniquely identified? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □
3.1.2	3.1,2	Is a risk assessment undertaken for new sites? (CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D



3.2.1	3.2.1	Do crop rotations take into account soil compaction and erosion, soil nutrient status and minimising of the build up of soil-borne pests and diseases and in the absence of a rotation is there a written justification? (Score: 2)	Yes No No N/A
3.2.2	3.2.2	Are predictive tests used to help you schedule crop rotations? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
4. Site m	anagement		
4.1.1	4.1.1	Has soil mapping been undertaken for the farm? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.2.1	4.2.1	Is the soil/substrate management policy discussed with advisors and relevant staff? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
4.2.2	4.2.2	Are measures taken to minimise soil erosion? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.2.3	4.2.3	Are measures taken to minimise soil compaction? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.2.4	4.2.4	Are field cultivations chosen to maintain and improve soil structure? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.2.5	4.2.5	Is the land drainage system adequately maintained? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.3.1	4.3.1	Have alternatives to chemical fumigation been explored before resorting to use of chemical fumigants? (No score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.3.2	4.3.2	Is there a written justification for the use of soil fumigants? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
4.3.3	4.3.3	Can commitment to the elimination of the usage of methyl bromide in agreement with your customer's guidelines be demonstrated? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.4.1	4.4.1	If inert substrates are used, are the documents available to demonstrate the suitability of these substrates? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.4.2	4.4.2	Are substrate recycling programmes for inert substances participated in? (No Score)	Yes No N/A
4.4.3	4.4.3	When substrates are reused, has steaming been used as the preferred option for sterilisation? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
4.4.4	4.4.4	If chemicals are used to sterilise substrates for reuse, has the location, date, type of chemical, method and the name of the operator been recorded? (Score: CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D
4.5.1	4.5.1	Are drills and/or transplanters calibrated to ensure accurate operation? (Score: 1)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
5. Variet	y selection		12
5.1.1	5.1.1	Have varieties or rootstocks been agreed with principal customers? (Score: 1)	Yes D No D N/A D
		Where possible, are a range of varieties selected to minimise pest and disease	Yes \square No \square N/A \square
5.1.2	5.1.2	incidence? (Score: 3)	Test Not NAC
5.1.3	5.1.3	Are you aware of the importance of effective crop husbandry in relation to 'mother crops' (i.e. the seed producing crop)? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
5.2.1	5.2.1	Is there a document that guarantees seed quality (free from injurious pests, diseases, virus etc.) and that states germination rate, variety name, batch number and seed vendor? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
5.3.1	5.3.1	Is the use of seed treatments justified? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
5.4.1	5.4.1	Are pesticide treatments applied during the plant rearing stage documented? (Score: 1)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
5.4.2	5.4.2	Is purchased nursery stock accompanied by officially recognised plant health certification? (Score: 3)	Yes D No D N/A D
5.4.3	5.4.3	Are specification guarantees or certified production guarantees documented? (Score: 1)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
5.4.4	5.4.4	Are plant health quality control systems operational for private or in-house nursery propagation? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
5.4.5	5.4.5	Are plants free of visible signs of pest and disease? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □



5.5.1	5.5.1	If GMOs are to be considered for use has their use been agreed with customers and will their use conform to applicable existing regulations? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6. Nutrit	ion		
6.1.1	6.1.1	Does the fertiliser application meet the needs of the crops as well as maintaining soil fertility? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.1.2	6.1.2	Has a cropping/nutrient management plan been developed to ensure minimisation of nutrient loss? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.1.3	6.1.3	Are fertilisers/nutrients applied with reference to soil or substrate drainage analysis and/or previous cropping history? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.1.4	6.1.4	Does the quantity of applied nitrogen fall within national / international limits? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.1.5	6.1.5	Has the quantity and timing of fertiliser application been calculated so as to optimise benefits and minimise nutrient losses? (No Score)	Yes No N/A
6.2.1	6.2.1	Are advisors, who make fertiliser application recommendations, qualified under the Fertiliser Advisors Certification Training Scheme (FACTS). If advisors are not used, is the person responsible for making nutritional decisions adequately trained? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.2.2	6.2.2	Are all relevant staff trained in the preparation/accurate application of nutrients/fertilisers? (Score: 3)	Yes No No N/A
6.3.1	6.3.1	Are steps taken to minimise the risk of polluting the environment with nitrates and phosphates? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.4.1	6.4.1	Are you or your contractor's fertiliser/nutrient applicators maintained in good condition, calibrated and regularly serviced to ensure accurate operation? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.4.2	6.4.2	Are precautions taken to protect non-target areas from fertiliser/nutrients? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.5.1	6.5.1	Do you record the location, date, fertiliser type, method of application, operator and quantities/concentration of all applied fertilisers/nutrients? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.6.1a	6.6.1a	Are fertilisers stored in a covered, clean, dry area, separate from nursery stock and where there is no risk of contamination of water courses? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.6.1b	6.6.1b	Are fertilisers stored separate from fresh produce? (Score: CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D
6.6.2	6.6.2	Are fertilisers stored separately from pesticides? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.6.3	6.6.3	Where it is not possible to store fertilisers and pesticides in separate rooms, are fertilisers and the pesticides physically separated and labelled? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
6.6.4	6.6.4	Are there up-to-date fertiliser stock records available on the farm? (Score: 2)	Yes No N/A
6.6.5	6.6.5	Are all fertiliser hazard and risk areas clearly indicated? (Score: 2)	Yes D No D N/A D
6.6.6	6.6.6	Is liquid fertiliser stored in accordance with the DEFRA code of practice for the protection of water and is there a contingency plan in the event of a leak of liquid fertilisers? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.7.1	6.7.1	Has manure been assessed for heavy metals and other potential pollutants before application? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
6.7.2	6.7.2	If applying organic manures to your land, do you assess the nutrient value and is account made of it in the nutrient management plan? (Score: 2)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
6.7.3	6.7.3	If applying treated sewage sludge, or specialist wastes, to your land does your contractor work in accordance with the DEFRA's Code of Practice for the Agricultural Use of Sewage Sludge? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆



6.7.4	6.7.4	Can you confirm that untreated human sewage sludge is not applied to land used to produce food crops? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □
7. Irriga	fion		- Part (1924)
7.1.1	7.1.1	Do you programme crop irrigation on the basis of identified need to maximise efficiency of water use? (Score: 2)	Yes D No D N/A D
7.1.2	7.1.2	Is irrigation application scheduled to take into account predicted rainfall and evaporation? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
7.2.1	7.2.1	Has the most efficient, commercially practical, water delivery system been used to ensure the best utilisation of water resources? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
7.2.2	7.2.2	Has consideration been given to a water management plan to optimise water usage and reduce waste? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
7.3.1	7.3.1	Has a risk assessment for irrigation water been completed? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
7.3.2	7.3.2	Are irrigation water analyses undertaken by a suitable laboratory for microbial, chemical and mineral pollutants and are adverse results acted upon? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
7.3.3	7.3.3	Can it be confirmed that untreated sewage water has not been used for irrigation? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□
7.3.4	7.3.4	If extracting water for irrigation, do you comply with your extraction licence? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
7.3.5	7.3.5	Are records of irrigation water usage maintained? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8. Crop	protection		
100 miles			
8.1.1	8.1.1	Is biological/cultural control of weeds, pests and diseases considered, wherever possible, and implemented? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □
8.1.2	8.1.2	Is integrated crop management (ICM) and its adoption discussed with relevant staff, advisors and/or contractors, with particular reference to the current ICM protocol for the relevant crop? (Score: 3)	Yes No No N/A
8.1.3	8.1.3	Are regular crop inspections undertaken and recorded? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.1.4	8.1.4	Are pests monitored by recording test/trap results and/or by participation in pest prediction programmes? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.1.5	8.1.5	Are local weather conditions monitored and/or disease prediction programmes participated in? (Score: 3)	Yes D No D N/A D
8.1.6	8.1.6	Are thresholds or other recognised prediction systems used to avoid the application of routine preventative applications of pesticides? (Score: 3)	Yes D No D N/A D
8.2.1	8.2.1	Is the utilised crop protection product appropriate for the control required? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.2.2	8.2.2	Have selective products that are specific to the target pest, weed or disease and which have minimal effect on beneficial organisms, aquatic life, workers, consumers and the ozone layer, been used wherever possible? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.2.3	8.2.3	Has an anti-resistance strategy been adopted? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.2.4	8.2.4	Do all pesticide applications comply with the statutory conditions regarding the specific crop, maximum permitted total dose, maximum number of treatments and latest time of application as indicated on the product label or by authorised extension of use (i.e. under the 'long term arrangements' or by 'specific off-label approval')? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
8.2.5	8.2.5	Does the member hold a list of currently approved pesticides for the crops registered with the Assured Produce Scheme? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □
8.2.6	8.2.6	Are customer(s) consulted to determine if any commercial restrictions exist with regard to pesticides? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □



8.2.7	8.2.7	Can any restrictions on certain pesticides in individual countries where products are being exported be demonstrated? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.3.1	8.3.1	Do advisors, who make recommendations to you regarding pesticide applications, hold the relevant BASIS qualification? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.3.2	8.3.2	Where an adviser is not consulted, can members demonstrate their competence and knowledge? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗀 N/A 🗆
8.3.3	8.3.3	Does the quantity of spray mix calculation consider the output of the equipment (speed, pressure (if applicable) and nozzle/delivery output) and the target area to be covered? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.1	8.4.1	Are the person(s) who implement crop protection advice trained in the identification of weeds, pests, disease and crop disorders, and beneficial organisms? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8,4.2	8.4.2	Are all pesticide applications made by appropriately trained (and certificated, where applicable) staff as defined under the Control of Pesticide Regulations (COPR) 1986? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.4.3	8.4.3	Do clear instructions detailing the location of application, pesticide dosage and application technique accompany each application? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.4	8.4.4	When mixing plant protection products, do you follow all handling and filling instructions stated on the label? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.4.5	8.4.5	Is the quantity of spray mix calculated and accurately prepared to reduce excess spray solution for disposal and are the correct quantity of spray mix and treatment type recorded? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.6	8.4.6	Is your/your contractor's pesticide application equipment in good condition, serviced at least annually and calibrated regularly to ensure accurate application? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
8.4.7	8.4.7	Are you/your contractor involved in an independent calibration-certification scheme? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.4.8	8.4.8	Is a safe method of transporting plant protection products around the unit used? (Score: 1)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.9	8.4.9	Where appropriate, are beekeepers notified in advance of applications of pesticides? (Score: 1)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.10	8.4.10	Are precautions taken to protect non-target areas from direct overspray or spray drift? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.11	8.4.11	Where 'reduced spray volume' applications are used, can it be demonstrated that the guidelines given in DEFRA's (MAFF's) Pesticide Code of Practice have been adhered to? (Score: 1)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.4.12	8.4.12	Do you dispose of dilute pesticides in accordance with the 1999 Groundwater Directive? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.5.1	8.5.1	Have all pesticide applications been recorded including the crop name, location, date, product trade-name, operator name, and product quantity? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.5.2	8.5.2	Do pesticide records include justification, technical authorisation, machinery used and the first harvestable date? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.5.3	8.5.3	Are start and finish times recorded when applying pesticides? (Score: 1)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.5.4	8.5.4	Are all records of pesticide applications retained for three years? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.5.5	8.5.5	Have any necessary local environment risk assessments (LERAPs) with respect to your pesticide application been carried out? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.6.1	8.6.1	Is the appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) for all operations involving chemicals held? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.6.2	8.6.2	Is all personal protective equipment cleaned, maintained, stored and disposed of according to manufacturer's recommendations and statutory requirements? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □



8.6.3	8.6.3	Can the steps taken to ensure all respiratory protective equipment (RPE) is operating efficiently be demonstrated? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.6.4	8.6.4	Is a safe method of transporting PPE's around the unit used? (Score: 1)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.6.5	8.6.5	Can pesticide application operators contact assistance easily in the event of an accident? (Score: 1)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
		Are plant protection products stored in accordance with the HSE's "Guidance on storing pesticides for farmers and other professional users" (AIS No. 16) to include:	
		warning sign (Score: CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D
8.7.1a 8.7.1b	8.7.1a 8.7.1b	sound construction, secure, frost proof, well ventilated, well lit, and has ease of	Yes D No D N/A D
8.7.1c	8.7.1c	access (Score: CFP) bunded (Score: CFP)	Yes No N/A
8.7.1d	8.7.1d	facilities to contain spillages (Score: CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D
8.7.2	8.7.2	Are pesticides stored in a location that is fire-resistant (excluding the roof) and away from other materials? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
8.7.3	8.7.3	Are shelves made of non-absorbent material? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.7.4	8.7.4	Are all pesticides stored in their original packaging in accordance with the Green Code? (Score: 2)	Yes D No D N/A D
8.7.5	8.7.5	Are powders stored on shelves above liquid? (Score: 2)	Yes No N/A
8.7.6	8.7.6	Are moisture activated gassing compounds stored in an appropriate cabinet? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.7.7	8.7.7	Do all the plant protection products in your store have current approval for use and storage? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
8.7.8	8.7.8	Is there a pesticide store inventory, are existing stocks used up before new stock and is stock rotation achieved and documented? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.7.9	8.7.9	Is the safe disposal of redundant plant protection products planned and recorded? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.7.10	8.7.10	Is sufficiently accurate equipment to weight/measure concentrated pesticides used and maintained? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.7.11	8.7.11	Are there adequate emergency facilities provided to deal with operator's contamination? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
8.7.12	8.7.12	Are keys and access to the pesticide store limited to workers with formal training in the handling of pesticides? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.7.13	8.7.13	Is there an emergency plan in the event of a pesticide incident involving staff,	Yes D No D N/A D
		operator, the local community or the environment in the immediate vicinity of the pesticide store, which also includes a list of contact telephone numbers and	
		the location of the nearest telephone? (Score: CFP)	
8.7.14	8.7.14	Is there a sign indicating the location of nearest telephone, within 10 metres of the pesticide store and a list of emergency contact telephone numbers next to the nearest telephone? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.8.1	8.8.1	Is a recognised cleansing mechanism/procedure used for empty pesticide containers prior to disposal? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.8.2	8.8.2	Are empty plant protection produce containers store and disposed of safely? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.9.1	8.9.1	Is your produce included in a pesticide residue testing programme, based on a risk assessment, and is it carried out by an accredited laboratory? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□
8.9.2	8.9.2	Are the residue test results traceable to the grower and to the product's production location? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
8.9.3	8.9.3	Is an action plan in place, in the event of a maximum residue level (MRL) being exceeded? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
	·		



8.9.4	8.9.4	Are harvest dates recorded and are the statutory harvest intervals for applied pesticides complied with? (Score: CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D
8.9.5	8.9.5	Are all pesticide treatments traceable to the batches of produce leaving your unit? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9. H	arvesting and s	torage	
9.1.1	9.1.1	Have all harvesting staff received basic training in personal hygiene requirements and are they aware of the Food Safety (General Food Hygiene) Regulations (GFHR) 1995 requirements regarding their 'fitness to work' where applicable? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
9.1.2	9.1.2	Are the farm and premises clear of litter and waste? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
9.1.3	9.1.3	Do all permanent packing and storage sites have effective pest control measures and are the control measures taken recorded? (Score: CFP)	Yes D No D N/A D
9.1.4	9.1.4	Where products are field packed has the product been removed from the field overnight? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.1.5	9.1.5	Are procedures implemented to ensure all packaging is clean and free from contamination? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.1.6	9.1.6	Are reusable plastic crates cleaned, and re-cleaned, to ensure they are free from foreign material? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.1.7	9.1.7	Are suitable procedures in place to avoid foreign bodies such as knives and plasters, being found within the final packaging? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.1.8	9.1.8	Are staff provided with appropriate washing and toilet facilities? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
9.1.9	9.1.9	Are all light bulbs, tubes, windows and any other glass or hard plastics, in produce storage, grading and washing areas, protected? Are implemented procedures in place, written where applicable, to prevent the contamination of product by broken glass or hard plastics (e.g. lights and windows)? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.2.1	9.2.1	Are post-harvest treatments minimised and do they comply with statutory requirements? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
9.2.2	9.2.2	Do all post harvest treatment records include batch, location, date, type of treatments, product trade name, quantity and operator's name? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
9.2.3	9.2.3	Do all post harvest treatment records include justification for application and application machinery? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.2.4	9.2.4	Are post harvest application records linked to consignments leaving the storage site and have the correct "utilisation" intervals for the products been observed? (Score: CFP)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.2.5	9.2.5	Where products are exported, are you aware of restrictions on specific chemicals in individual countries and have customers been consulted to determine if any additional commercial restrictions exist? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.3.1	9.3.1	Does the final product washing water meet national drinking water standards and is it filtered if recycled? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
9.3.2	9.3.2	Has a risk assessment for sources of water for post-harvest washing been carried out? (No Score)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
9.3.3	9.3.3	Based on the risk assessment, has washing water been analysed, by a suitably accredited laboratory, the results compared to accepted standards and any adverse results acted upon? (No Score)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
10. P	ollution control	and waste management	
10.1.1	10.1.1	Is there a written and implemented waste management plan? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
10.2.1	10.2.1	Is there a written and implemented pollution management plan? (Score: 2)	Yes D No D N/A D
10.3.1	10.3.1	Are holding areas for diesel tanks and waste environmentally safe? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
		1.	



11. Ene	ergy efficiency	y	
11.1.1	11.1.1	Is there a written energy management policy? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12. He	ılth and Safet	y and worker welfare	
12.1.1	12.1.1	Has a Health and Safety risk assessment been undertaken and is there a written Health and Safety at work policy (where applicable)? (Score: 3)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
12.1.2	12.1.2	Has the risk assessment been used to develop an action plan to promote safe and healthy working conditions? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.1.3	12.1.3	Has an assessment for your business as required under the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations (COSHH) 1994 been carried out? (Score: CFP)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.1.4	12.1.4	Has staff training been undertaken to ensure the efficient operation of the business and the personal development of individual personnel and are training records held? (Score: 3)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.1.5	12.1.5	Do accident and emergency procedures exist, and are the instructions clearly understood by all workers? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □
12.1.6	12.1.6	Are accident procedures visually displayed, and where applicable, in the appropriate language of the workforce? (No Score)	Yes □ No □
12.1.7	12.1.7	Are hazards clearly identified by warning signs? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.1.8	12.1.8	Are safety procedures in place for operators taking samples from controlled atmosphere stores? (Score: CFP)	Yes□ No□ N/A□
12.1.9	12.1.9	Are there adequate levels of trained first aid personnel and equipment at all permanent sites and in the field, for the scale of the business? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.1.10	12.1.10	Where applicable, do staff who undertake pesticide applications receive regular health checks in line with guidelines laid down in the Green Code? (No Score)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.2.1	12.2.1	Do all employment conditions comply with local and national regulations with regard to wages, workers age, working hours, working conditions, job security, unions, pensions, and all other legal and health requirements? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
12.2.2	12.2.2	Have customers been consulted to ensure compliance with specific company policies regarding worker welfare? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
12.2.3	12.2.3	Are on site living quarters habitable and have basic services and facilities? (Score: 2)	Yes □ No □ N/A □
13. Envi	onmental iss	ues	
13.1.1	13.1.1	Has outside advice on environmental improvement been received? (Score: 1)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆
13.2.1	13.2.1	Is there a statement of the steps that have been taken/are proposed to be taken with regard to conservation and the encouragement of wildlife/biodiversity on the unit? (Score: 2)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A 🗆

Observations regarding countryside renewal scheme

- 1. The Agricultural Policy as taken to the States by Sen. Jean Le Maistre has not been implemented because of financial restraints.
- 2. Environmental benefits for the Island as a whole are being delivered through farm assurance schemes at the moment though at a lower level than if an Agri Environment scheme had been introduced.
- 3. The States have adopted by default a lean and mean policy towards agriculture. This reduction in funding for Agriculture in general had reduced activities and left some land unmanaged.
- 4. It is disappointing that the costs for the proposed countryside renewal scheme paper as presented for scrutiny have not been given. In the present climate this has to be the most important aspect. There is no point supporting a scheme that cannot be funded.
- 5. You cannot make elements of the scheme that are not required by assurance schemes conditional without being funded in total as it would put further financial burdens on farm incomes.
- 6. There is always concern that the Countryside Renewal scheme will be bureaucratic and the use of assured produce schemes to deliver environmental benefits should be explored as an alternative.
- 7. The Farm Assurance schemes is independently audited for all legal requirements and some non statutory elements. Holdings are failed if they fail to achieve these requirements. Holdings also have to accumulate at least 90 points by complying with a whole range of other requirements in order to maintain their licence. Some requirements such as an energy audit are not compulsory or scored but are recorded if done. Other assurance schemes are at least as comprehensive e.g. Natures Choice or Soil Association.

COMPONENTS

- 1. Increase Pesticide storage bund Probably not costly. Low risk
- 2. Double skinned oil tank

Assured Produce – most tractor fuel storage double skinned and bunded. Glass house – fuel stores expensive to bund

3. Energy audit

Not expensive, benefit to farm

Part of assured produce assessment but not a failure point

Not scored.

4. Hedgerow creation restoration and management

No economic benefit. Some work done now.

Required by Environmental Plan

5. Woodland Planting

Moderate cost

No economic benefit. Should not take 'good' agricultural land.

Possible part of Environmental plan.

6. Foot path, cycle way, bridle path

Probably no economic benefit

Provides access to public

Possible insurance issues

Expenses for footpaths, cycle ways

Not Assured Produce

7. Access Items

No economic benefit

Good for public

Low to moderate cost

8. Cider Apple Orchard

Economic return

Moderate cost

Funding not necessarily required. Some plantings already taking place

9. Farm manure and Waste Management programme

Required by Assured Produce

Low cost but implication expensive

Important nitrate reduction

10. Organic Conversion

Moderate to low cost

Public desire for more organic land

Allows competition with rest of Europe

11. Organic Production

Should be supported to at least level of conventional

Any additional support should not be at expense of conventional

12. Traditional Meadows

Low cost difficult to encourage with better quality land now available cheaper

13. Arable crop for benefit of Wildlife

Unnecessary? Further detail required

What crop

14. Crop diversification

Already being done. Further aid for dairy industry

Many cereals etc.. grown after potatoes already

15. Spreading of slurry/dirty water by soil injection

Will happen without intervention

Some environmental benefit, could be positive or negative

For nitrate pollution to water course

Desirable for the public

Moderate cost

16. Special projects

Too vague

Open to abuse and waste

17. Maintaining a green cover crop over winter

Already widespread compliance Low priority

18. Buffer Zones

Could be encouraged especially near water courses

Costs unknown

Some uptake already as land prices fall

19. Reducing Nitrogen Use

Already happening

Could be encouraged further

Much of what is described under conditions already carried out and required by Assured Produce scheme

20. Slurry and Dirty Water storage

Expensive

Very important. Some economic benefit

- 21. Slurry Injection Equipment (see 15)
- 22. Extensive Livestock Production

All forms of food production are already becoming less intensive as price of land falls. Government interference not desirable.

23. Recycling of Hydroponics Run Off

Should be encouraged. Low cost. Some economic benefit

24. Energy Audit Remedial Items

Difficult to cost. Possible High cost

Desirable to make Energy Audits worth doing

Part of Assured Produce.

25. Composting of green waste.

Desirable – Moderate cost

26. Water storage and Nutrient Recycling

Expensive

Desirable

27. Steam sterilisation

Desirable economic benefit. Moderate cost